

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 808 OF 2016

GOA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
THR. ITS SECRETARY.,

... Applicant

Versus

-

... Respondent

Shri D. Lawande, Addl. Advocate General with shri Aurobindo
Gomes Pereira, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicant.
Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for the original petitioner.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 5th January, 2017

P.C.

Heard Shri D. Lawande, learned Addl. Advocate General for the applicant and Shri D. Pangam, learned Advocate for the original petitioner.

2. Though Shri D. Pangam, learned Advocate appearing for the original petitioner has seriously disputed, the correctness of the grounds raised by the petitioner in the application for extension of time, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as pointed out by Shri Pangam, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, we find that the question of granting any extension of time as prayed by the applicant did not at all arise.

3. Be that as it may, as last opportunity 10 days time is

extended to comply with the directions strictly in compliance with the directions issued in the judgment dated 14.9.2016. It is made clear that no further extension shall be granted to comply with such directions in any circumstances.

4. The application stands disposed off.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.