

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 736 OF 2017

Narayan Dhanaji Rathod

...Petitioner

Versus

The Sate of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr. S. B. Bhosale, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for the respondent No.1/State

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI. JJ.**

DATE : 13-12-2017

PER COURT :

1. On perusal of the communication dated 7th November 2016, it does not transpire that there is any violation of the direction issued by this Court. The claim of the petitioner is under consideration of the respondent and they are expected to take appropriate decision.

2. There is no need to take cognizance of the instant contempt petition since there is no violation of any direction of this Court. The contempt petition devoid of merits, hence stands rejected.

**[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE**

**[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE**

vjg/-.