

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.14082 OF 2017

Hari Cotspin Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr. Pramod Gaikwad, Advocate holding for Mr. A. G. Talhar,
Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. S. P. Sonpawale, Asstt. Government Pleader for respondents
No.1 to 3/ State.

Mr. P. K. Nikam, Advocate for respondent No.4.

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI. JJ.**

DATE : 06-12-2017

PER COURT :

1. It would be open for the bank to deal with the proposal tendered by the petitioner dated 22-09-2017, for onetime settlement, in accordance with the policy of the bank and directives of the Reserve Bank of India.
2. There is no need to cause interference in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of Constitution of India.
3. Writ petition is devoid of merits, hence stands rejected.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE

vjg/-.