

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 621 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/38150/2016

JAIKISAN KISANLAL DAMANI
VERSUS
KIRAN PIPE INDUSTRIES, THROUGH ITS POWER OF ATTORNEY
HOLDER VIKAS DIGEMBER DEVAL

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S.V. Warad
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. S.U. Chaudhari h/f Mr. C.P. Patil
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 28-04-2017

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant refers to the reasons, as are appearing in the application for condonation of delay.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent finds it difficult to consider the reasons as appearing, to be sufficient cause for condonation of delay, yet, is not in a position to challenge the veracity of the contents.
4. Having regard to that delay is not inordinate, it would be expedient to let an entry to the applicant, to contest the matter on merits.

5. In the circumstances, delay stands condoned. Application stands granted in terms of prayer clause (a), and, is disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/