

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1210 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 37461 OF 2015

Latabai Bhagwat Sapkale and another .. Applicants
versus
Eknath Garbad Ingale .. Respondent

Mr. Vijay B. Patil, Advocate for applicants
Smt. M. L. Sangit, Advocate h/f Mr. G. V. Wani, Advocate for
respondent

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 17th February, 2017

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The learned counsel for the applicants refers to the contents of the civil application and particularly to that grand daughter of applicant no. 1 had been suffering from kidney disorder and in the circumstances there is delay of 192 days caused in filing the second appeal.
3. Learned counsel for respondent though purports to resist the application, however, has not been able to challenge veracity of the contents of the application and the reasons given.

4. In the circumstances, delay stands condoned in terms of prayer clause (B) of the civil application. Civil application stands disposed of with liberty to the applicants to file appropriate application for interim relief, if occasion arises.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH,
JUDGE

pnd