

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 14857 OF 2017

Chandan s/o Tukaram Sable

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Chairman/Managing Director,
Syndicate Bank and others

.. Respondents

Shri Jagdish V. Deshpande, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S. B. Deshpande, A.S.G. for the Respondent No. 5.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 20TH DECEMBER, 2017.

FINAL ORDER :

. The learned advocate for the petitioner states that, he is challenging the notice thereby asking the petitioner to remain present for the preliminary enquiry. According to the learned counsel, case under the Anti Corruption Act has been lodged against the petitioner. The said case is pending and is ripe for evidence. The Departmental Enquiry would jeopardize rights of the petitioner in the criminal case.

2. The notice, which is impugned in the present writ petition is notice for conducting preliminary enquiry and not departmental enquiry. We would have considered the case of the

petitioner, had the notice being for departmental enquiry.

3. In the light of the above, no interference is called for. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Dec. 17