

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 142 OF 2017
(Shri Shahaji Shikshan Sanstha, Nanded and another Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and others)

Mr. Vijay A. Dhakne, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. A.R. Kale, A.G.P. for respondent nos. 1 to 3
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for respondent no. 4

CORAM : V.M. KANADE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 9th January, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that despite respondent nos.3 and 4 recommending the proposal of the petitioners to give approval to start second additional division of 7th Standard, no decision has been taken by respondent nos.1 and 2.

3. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 to take decision on the proposal of the petitioners, if not already taken, expeditiously and in any case within a period of six weeks, on merit and in accordance with law, after giving hearing to the petitioners.

4. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to apply afresh.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[V.M. KANADE]
JUDGE

npj/wp-142-2017