

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 12090 OF 2016
(Mahadevi Ramlingappa Lokhande Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)

Mr. Mahesh V. Ghatge, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S.B. Joshi, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2
Mr. P.P. Dama, Advocate for respondent No. 4
Mr. A.N. Kakade, Advocate for respondent No. 5

CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 10th MARCH, 2017

ORAL ORDER :

Heard.

2. The petition is filed for giving direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take decision on the bill of salary in respect of the petitioner. It appears that the reason given for not granting bill of salary is that the funds are not available.

3. In our opinion, the decision on the bill of salary needs to be taken by the authorities and the salary needs to be disbursed to the petitioner. This shall be done by the concerned authority within a period

of one month. With these directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[T.V. NALAWADE]
JUDGE

npj/wp12090-2016