

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.49 OF 2017

Prajit Kondiba Mundhe
and others ..Petitioners
Vs.
Union of India
and others ..Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.51 OF 2017

Dattatraya s/o. Manik Suryawanshi
and others .Petitioners
Vs.
Union of India
and others ..Respondents

--

Mr.R.V.Naiknavare, Advocate for petitioners
Mr.S.B.Deshpande, A.S.G.I. for respondent no.1
Mr.D.S.Manorkar, Advocate for respondent no.2
Mr.M.B.Bharaswadkar, AGP for respondent nos.3 and 4

--

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : MARCH 14, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard Mr.Naiknavare, learned Counsel for
the petitioners and Mr.Manorkar, learned Counsel
for respondent no.2.

2. According to the learned Counsel for the petitioners, the respondents are required to determine the compensation as per the provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 ("the Act of 2013", for short)

3. Mr.Manorkar, learned Counsel for respondent no.2 submits that the compensation has been computed as per the Act of 2013 and the lands acquired being in urban area, multiplying factor is only one. If the petitioners seek enhancement of the compensation, they may move the Arbitrator as per the provisions of Section 3-G(5) of the National Highways Act, 1956.

4. Considering the above, the Writ Petitions stand disposed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Arbitrator.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

kbp