

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

1055 CONT. PETITION NO. 691 OF 2017
IN CA/4489/2004

SHAKUNTALABAI KEVAL MEHAR RS ARJUN AND OTHERS
VERSUS
MARUTI MAHADA MEHAR MALI LS SULAN AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Annasaheb R. Barate.
...

CORAM : **V. K. JADHAV, J.**
DATE : 24th November, 2017.

P.C.:

. I do not find any substance in this contempt petition. This Court has passed the order, pending hearing and final disposal of the second appeal, and thereby stayed the execution of the judgment and decree dated 9th December, 2003 passed by the Adhoc Additional District Judge, Osmanabad in Regular Civil Appeal No.49 of 1993.

2 The learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that even though this Court has stayed the decree passed by the lower Appellate Court, the Circle Officer has taken the mutation entry on the basis of the judgment and decree passed by the Adhoc Additional District Judge, Osmanabad in Regular Civil Appeal No.49 of 1993.

3 In view of the above, the Petitioners may approach the Appellate Authority against the said order passed by the Circle Officer. However, there is no contempt of the order passed by this Court. The contempt petition is accordingly, disposed of.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

ndm