

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 764 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5286 OF 2013

Sheshrao S/o Dyanoba Gaikwad and another .. Petitioners

VS.

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. U.R. Awate, Advocate i/b. Talekar and Associates for the petitioners

Mrs. A.V. Gondhalekar, A.G.P. for the respondent/State

Mr. B.A. Darak, Advocate for respondent no.3

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 27-06-2017

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard.

2. Having perused the order passed by this Court and the communication dated 21/06/2017, copy of which is taken on record and marked "X" for identification, we are of the opinion that the Assistant Director of Town Planning, Nanded has caused the

measurements to be done and equally there are other Officers and Authorities incharge of that exercise, who have acted in terms of this Court's directions. That they acted belatedly, by itself and without anything more, cannot be a ground to proceed in civil contempt.

3. The Petition is misconceived and is disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI]
JUDGE

arp/