

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 579 OF 2011**

Satish Anandrao Sonawane  
Age : 38 years, Occu: Service,  
A/P-Malanjan, Tq - Sakri,  
Dist. Dhule.

... **PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

1. The State of Maharashtra,  
Through Principal Secretary,  
Department of Education,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The President  
Sayjabai Adivashi Vikas Mandal  
Pimpalgaon (Devlipada),  
Tal-Sakri, Dist. Dhule.
3. The Head Master  
Sayjabai Adivashi Secondary School,  
Sayjabai Adivashi Secondary School,  
Pimpalgaon (Devlipada),  
Tal-Sakri, Dist. Dhule.
4. The Education Officer (Secondary)  
Zilla Parishad Dhule,  
Dist-Dhule.
5. The Deputy Director of Education,  
Nashik Division,  
Revenue Office Building Nashik,  
Nashik.

... **RESPONDENTS**

.....

Shri. P.R.Patil, Advocate for petitioner  
Mrs. M.A.Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1, 4 & 5  
Shri. V.D.Sapkal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 & 3

.....

CORAM:     ANOOP V. MOHTA AND  
                         SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE:        8th June, 2017

ORAL JUDGMENT (Per Shri. Anoop V. Mohta, J.) :-

Called out from final hearing board.

1. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent has placed on record compilation of documents including submission before the School Tribunal wherein apart from other issues, it is mentioned that the petitioner has been paid salary from 26.11.2009 upto 30.04.2012 to the extent of RS.1,61,899/-. This is sufficient to dispose of present writ petition. Main prayer of the petitioner itself made on 24.09.2010, was for the payment of salary from the date of reinstatement i.e. 26.11.2009 in the school in question. The statement is also made that the issue to the extent of termination is pending with the School Tribunal.

2. Therefore, at this stage we are inclined to dispose of present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate application if the petitioner is still aggrieved by the non-receipt of the full payment / salary, if any. It is also made clear that we are not dealing with any other aspects, which are pending before the learned School Tribunal.

3. The petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty to make representation, if any, within four weeks.

4. Rule is discharged accordingly. Other points are kept open.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)  
JUDGE

(ANOOP V. MOHTA)  
JUDGE

vmk