

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY**

BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO.2923 OF 2016

Magan S/o Poona Pawar ... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and another. ... Respondents.

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.2936 OF 2016

WRIT PETITION NO.2939 OF 2016

WRIT PETITION NO.2940 OF 2016

WRIT PETITION NO.1084 OF 2017

Mr.V.B.Patil, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.K.D.Munde, A.G.P. for the State.

...

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.**

Date : 30.10.2017.

PER COURT :

1. Mr.Patil, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners on attaining the age of superannuation have retired.

They were initially serving on daily wages. Thereafter, they were appointed as permanent employees. The pensionary benefits are not sanctioned in favour of the petitioners. The petitioners have given representations to the Respondent No.2 but the Respondent No.2 has not taken any cognizance of the said representations.

2. We have heard learned counsel for Respondents.

3. The Respondent No.2 shall decide the representations filed by the petitioners in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within four (4) months.

4. The Writ Petitions are disposed of. No costs.

(SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.) (S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

