

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.786 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9607 OF 2015**

Adarsh Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's
K.T.Patil College of Computer
Science ... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others. ... Respondents.

...

Mr.P.R.Katneshwarkar, advocate holding for
Mr.V.S.Undre, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.V.S.Badakh, A.G.P. for the State.
Mr.S.G.Chapalgaonkar, advocate for Respondent
No.4.

...

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

Date : 17.04.2017.

PER COURT :

1. Heard.
2. We had issued notice to the University

only for seeking clarification.

3. Considering the order passed in strict sense the same may not tantamount to contempt.

4. However, considering the nature of the dispute it appears that there is communication gap between the petitioner and the authority. The grounds on which the earlier communication was made to the petitioner on 4.9.2015, rejecting the proposal, the same grounds are reiterated in the communication dated 6.10.2016. According to the petitioner, those deficiencies were removed. This Court while setting aside the earlier communication dated 4.9.2015, had observed that the petitioner has removed the deficiencies as University has recommended the proposal. Under the communication dated 6.10.2016, it is stated that the petitioner has not filed documents to substantiate that the deficiencies and of clauses 6,7, 12(a), 12(2)(2.1), 12(2)(2.3) and 13. The petitioner may file documents to substantiate that the compliance of all the clauses referred to in the

order dated 6.10.2016. The same be done within two (2) weeks from today. On receipt of the same, the Respondent authority shall decide the said proposal afresh preferably by 15.6.2017. While doing so, the impugned communication would not be an impediment.

5. The Contempt Petition accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

asp/office/Cp786.16

