

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.11549 OF 2016

Rangnath Tulshiram Thoge died .. Petitioners
Lrs. Babasaheb Rangnath Thoge
and others

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondents
and others

Mr.Punit S. Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.S.G.Karlekar, AGP for the respondent/State
Mr.V.C.Solshe, Advocate for respondent No.4

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.11579 OF 2016

Sakhubai Uttamrao Doifode .. Petitioners
and others

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondents
and others

Mr.Punit S. Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.A.V.Deshmukh, AGP for the respondent/State
Mr.V.C.Solshe, Advocate for respondent No.4

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.11580 OF 2016

Laxman Ramnath Ghayal .. Petitioners
and others

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others

.. Respondents

Mr.Punit S. Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.A.V.Deshmukh, AGP for the respondent/State
Mr.V.C.Solshe, Advocate for respondent No.4

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.11595 OF 2016

Devidas Tukaram Shejule
and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others

.. Respondents

Mr.Punit S. Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.A.V.Deshmukh, AGP for the respondent/State
Mr.V.C.Solshe, Advocate for respondent No.4

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
S.M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATED : 29.08.2017

P.C. :-

. The acquiring body has presented an affidavit
and in paragraph No.9 of the reply, it is stated as
below:-

"9. The respondent No.4 humbly submits
that sanctioning of rent for the acquired lands
is in process. It is further stated that rent

for the lands taken in possession is paid up to March 2013 and as per the availability of the funds, acquisition of lands of owners coming under Km.11 to 37 total adm. 111.59 hectares would be acquired either under the new acquisition act or as per the guidelines issued by the State Government. The respondent No.4 further humbly submits that after the possession of the lands canal alignment mark was carried and very minor dugout work was done for want of fund and hence most of the lands nature is still unaffected."

2. In view of the submissions made in paragraph No. 9 as stated above the grievance raised by the petitioner in the instant petition substantially stands redressed.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent acquiring body states on instructions that appropriate proposal with the consent of the petitioner would be submitted to the State Government within a period of six months from today. If the petitioners or any agriculturist do not give consent for the rates prescribed by the acquiring body appropriate proceedings would be taken up under the provisions of the Act of 2013. After presentation of the proposal to the Collector as stated by the learned AGP, appropriate decision would be taken within a period of two months from the date of receipt of proposal and thereafter within a period of four months the amount of compensation determined by the

Authorities would be paid to the land owners.

4. In view of the statement made as above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[S.M. GAVHANE, J.]

[R.M. BORDE, J.]