

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14359/2017
IN CRAFT/32116/2017
ASHOK PRABHULING BHALWANE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14360/2017
IN CRAFT/32118/2017
GAUTAM BABA KAWDE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14361/2017
IN CRAFT/32124/2017
SHESHERAO KALYANRAO CHEDE
VERSUS.
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14362/2017
IN CRAFT/32128/2017
PANDIT KALYANRAO CHEDE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS.
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14363/2017
IN CRAFT/32132/2017
MOHAN BABURAO KAWADE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14364/2017
IN CRAFT/32766/2017
CHANDRAKANT ANANDRAO CHEDE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14365/2017
IN CRAFT/32768/2017

SHRIKANT ANANDRAO CHEDE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14366/2017
IN CRAFT/32770/2017

SUWARNA BHAGWAN UNDARE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Murge Estling S..
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.S Dande.

CORAM : K. L. WADANE, J.

DATE : 5th December, 2017

ORDER:

1. These are the applications for condonation of delay caused in filing Civil Revision Applications.
2. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned A.G.P for the respondents/State.
3. On perusal of the contents of the applications it appears that the applicants are residing near about 15 k.m away from Osmanabad City. The impugned order was not communicated to the applicants. In the month of June 2017 the applicants and their advocate approached to the office of respondent No. 1 and enquired about the status of reference filed by them. On enquiry the applicants came to know that their

applications came to be rejected on the ground of non payment of court-fees. Immediately thereafter the applicants applied for certified copies and same has been received. After collecting necessary documents they preferred the revision applications. In that process delay has been caused.

4. Looking to the averments/grounds mentioned in the application and after hearing both the sides, I am satisfied that the delay caused for filing civil revision applications has been properly explained. The delay caused in filing civil revision applications is accidental and not intentional. Therefore, needs to be condoned. Accordingly it is condoned.

5. Civil Applications are disposed of.

(K. L. WADANE, J.)

mkd/-