

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

Writ Petition No. 11458 of 2017

District : Nanded

Vitthal s/o. Bapurao Gutte. .. Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& 06 others. .. Respondents.

.....

*Mr. Sarang P. Joshi and Mr. Kishor U. Shirodkar,
Advocate, for the petitioner.*

*Mr. S.B. Joshi, Asst. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01 to 03.*

.....

With

Writ Petition No. 11460 of 2017

District : Nanded

Digamber s/o. Kishanrao Wadje .. Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& 06 others. .. Respondents.

.....

*Mr. Sarang P. Joshi and Mr. Kishor U. Shirodkar,
Advocate, for the petitioner.*

*Mr. A.R. Kale, Asst. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01 to 03.*

.....

With

Writ Petition No. 11467 of 2017

District : Nanded

Narayan s/o. Baliram Navghare .. Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& 06 others. .. Respondents.

.....

*Mr. Sarang P. Joshi and Mr. Kishor U. Shirodkar,
Advocate, for the petitioner.*

*Mr. S.P. Sonpawale, Asst. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01 to 03.*

.....

With

Writ Petition No. 11469 of 2017

District : Nanded

Vijay s/o. Limbaji Rathod .. Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& 06 others. .. Respondents.

.....

*Mr. Sarang P. Joshi and Mr. Kishor U. Shirodkar,
Advocate, for the petitioner.*

*Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, Addl. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01 to 03.*

.....

With

Writ Petition No. 11472 of 2017

District : Nanded

Ramdas s/o. Khanderao Gutte .. Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& 06 others. .. Respondents.

.....

*Mr. Sarang P. Joshi and Mr. Kishor U. Shirodkar,
Advocate, for the petitioner.*

*Mr. S.B. Joshi, Asst. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01 to 03.*

.....

With

Writ Petition No. 11476 of 2017

District : Nanded

Gurunath s/o. Balu Jadhav .. Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra
& 06 others. .. Respondents.

.....

*Mr. Sarang P. Joshi and Mr. Kishor U. Shirodkar,
Advocate, for the petitioner.*

*Mr. A.R. Kale, Asst. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01 to 03.*

.....

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.**

DATE : 04TH DECEMBER 2017

ORAL ORDER :

01. Request made by petitioners in the representation dated 22.08.2016 itself is contrary to the scheme framed by the State Government in respect of appointment and functions of Gram Rojgar Sewak. Petitioners have tendered a request to the authorities to consider them eligible for conferment of permanency in employment and to pay monthly wages.

02. The scheme framed by the State Government declared under the resolution dated 02.05.2011 stipulates appointment of Gram Rojgar Sewak on part time basis. It is clearly recorded in the scheme itself that payment to the Gram Rojgar Sewak would be in tune with the responsibility conferred upon him. It is however, provided that such Gram Rojgar Sewak would neither be considered as the employee of the State Government, Zilla Parishad or Panchayat Samiti and the appointment would be purely on temporary basis. They also cannot be considered to be the employees of the concerned Village Panchayat.

03. Since the scheme itself does not stipulate employment of the concerned Gram Rojgar Sewak on permanent establishment, the request made by petitioners in the representation dated 22.08.2016

cannot be directed to be considered favourably. It would however, be open for the petitioners to make suitable request in consonance with the scheme formulated by the State Government.

04. Writ petitions accordingly stand disposed of.

(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi)
JUDGE

(R.M. Borde)
JUDGE

.....