

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

905 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12125/2017

IN

CONT. PETITION NO. 702 OF 2015

STATE BANK OF HYDERABAD THR BRANCH MANAGER AND ANOTHER
VERSUS

VENKATESH AGRO INDUSTRIES THR ITS PARTNER MRS. DEEPA
PRAKASH AYERAWAR AND OTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. S.R. Deshpande

Advocate for Respondent No. 1 to 4 : Mr. N.T. Tribhuvan

...

CORAM : K.K. SONAWANE, J.

DATED : 10TH OCTOBER, 2017.

Order :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant-State Bank of India and learned counsel for respondent -contemnor.
2. Perused the application. It has been submitted that in view of Government Notification dated 22nd February, 2017 (Exhibit-A), the applicant-petitioner State Bank of Hyderabad(SBH) has already been merged into the State Bank of India(SBI), therefore, it is necessary to substitute name of applicant State Bank of India on record as applicants-original petitioners. According to learned counsel for the applicants, in view of notification the undertaking of the Transferor Bank(SBH), as it stood before the effective date shall without any further act, instrument or deed, stand transferred to, and vest in, the transferee Bank(SBI). Therefore, it is incumbent for the

applicants State Bank of India to pursue the matter against contemnor respondent in future.

3. In view of reasons mentioned in the application, there is no impediment to allow the applicant- SBI to appear in this matter being original petitioner by requisite amendment as prayed. Hence, application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause "B". The applicants to carry out necessary amendment within two weeks from today.

4. The civil application is allowed in aforesaid terms and stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

[K. K. SONAWANE]
JUDGE

mtk.