

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 96 OF 2017
IN WP/8893/2015**

SUSHIL RANGNATH WAGHMARE
VERSUS
GANPAT SHANKARRAO MORE AND OTHERS

AND
**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 740 OF 2016
IN WP/11535/2015**

ASHOK NILKANTH DHALE
VERSUS
GANPAT SHANKARRAO MORE AND OTHERS

--

Mr.R.D.Biradar, Advocate for petitioners

Mr.D.S.Mali, Advocate for respondent no.1

--

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 07, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard Mr.Biradar, learned Counsel for the
petitioners.

2. Mr.Mali, learned Counsel for respondent
no.1 states that the proposals of the petitioners
have been considered and the same are rejected.

3. In view of the above, though belatedly, the contempt stands purged. The Contempt Petitions are disposed of accordingly.

4. The petitioners may assail the order rejecting the proposal, as may be permissible under the law.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

kbp