

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 666 OF 2016
in
Writ Petition No. 9882 of 2015

Ahilya Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan
Prasarak Mandal, Latur, through
its Secretary

Petitioner

V E R S U S

The Union of India, through its
Secretary, Ministry of Women and
Child Development, Shastri
Bhavan, New Delhi and four others

Respondents

Mr. A.N. Nagargoje, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. A.P. Basarkar, A.G.P. for the respondent/State
Mr. R.K. Ashtekar, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 & 3

CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 2ND MAY, 2017

PER COURT :

1. Mr. Ashtekar, learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 submits that by detail reasons, the representation of the petitioner is decided under order dated 4th April, 2017.

2. According to Mr.Nagargoje, learned counsel for the petitioner, the said decision is not in conformity with the report dated 13th July, 2015, and so also, erroneous on various grounds.

3, As the decision has been taken though belatedly, contempt stands purged. The petitioner is at liberty to assail the said decision in accordance with law.

4. The Contempt Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)