

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11036 OF 2017

Popat Dattatray Barbole .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Ms. Pradnya S. Talekar, Advocate i/by Talekar and Associates
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. W. Mundhe, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 11168 OF 2017**

Vinod Bhagwat Naikwadi .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Ms. Pradnya S. Talekar, Advocate i/by Talekar and Associates
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. B. Pulkundwar, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 13TH SEPTEMBER, 2017.

FINAL ORDER :

. The learned Assistant Government Pleaders raise preliminary objection that the petitioners have remedy of appeal.

2. In view of that, though Ms. Talekar, the learned counsel sought to canvass submissions on merits of matters, we are not inclined to consider the same. Ms. Talekar, the learned counsel further raises an apprehension that the respondents in the mean time would change the mutation entries. The petitioners can seek all the remedies before the Appellate Authority.

3. The writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to petitioners to avail the alternate remedy. For a period of fifteen (15) days from today *status quo* with regard to mutation entries be maintained. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Sept. 17