

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9288 OF 2011

SHAHAJI SAHEBRAO GUND AND ORS.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Kshirsagar Gajanan K.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S. S. Dande
Advocate for respondents 3 and 4 : Mr. S.T. Shelke
Advocate for respondents 5 to 8 : Mr. R.M. Mahale h/f
Mr. S.S. Choudhari

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 07-11-2017

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners strenuously urges to consider the request made under Writ Petition based on the educational qualification.
3. However, looking at the prevalent position in Rules and the Government Resolution, to which challenge is purportedly posed, could not be shown to be in any way arbitrary, discriminatory or against the policy of the State Government.

4. Thus, the claim merely based on educational qualification, does not appear to be tenable.

5. Writ Petition is thus rejected.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/5