

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11002 OF 2016

**TUKARAM DATTATRAY PATEWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

--

Mr.R.B.Dhakane, Advocate for petitioner
Mr.V.S.Badakh, AGP for respondent no.1
Mr.N.S.Kadam, Advocate for respondent no.3
Mr.Hiraji Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent no.4

--

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 03, 2017

PER COURT :

Mr.Kadam, learned Counsel for respondent no.2 – Education Officer, on instructions, states that as per the staffing pattern, one post has been increased and as such, the petitioner need not be declared as surplus and he would be sent to his original parent Institute.

2. In view of the above statement, the grievance made by the petitioner does not survive. The Writ Petition does not survive and stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

kbp