

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

926 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1099 OF 2017
IN FAST/29065/2016

SHRIRANG VITHALRAO BAGAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Arun H. Koralkar
AGP for respondent No.1,2 : Mr. S.P.Sonpawale.
Advocate for Respondent-3 : Mr. S. C. Arora.

...

928 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1103 OF 2017
IN FAST/28861/2016

DEORAO PRATAP JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Arun H. Koralkar
AGP for respondent No.1,2 : Mr. R. B. Bagul.
Advocate for Respondent-3 : Mr. S. C. Arora.

...

930 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1106 OF 2017
IN FAST/29087/2016

BHANUDAS DEWADE DIED ARUNA BHANUDAS DEWDE AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Arun H. Koralkar
AGP for respondent No.1,2 : Mr. C.V.Dharurkar.
Advocate for Respondent-3 : Mr. S. C. Arora.

...

937 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1389 OF 2017
IN FAST/33908/2016

ASHOK BABANBHAI SHAIKH DIED THROUGH HSI LRS TAMIJABI
ASHOK SHAIKH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Arun H. Koralkar
AGP for respondent No.1,2 : Mr. R. B. Bagul.
Advocate for Respondent-3 : Mr. S. C. Arora.

CORAM : K.K. SONAWANE, J.

DATED : 09TH AUGUST, 2017.

Order :-

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties. Perused the applications.
2. The learned counsel for the applicants-appellants submits that the applicants - appellants will not claim statutory benefits as well as amount of interest as laid down in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the period of delay sought to be condoned, in case of success of appeals on merit.
3. In view of the aforesaid submissions and for the reasons mentioned in the applications that the applicants are rustic and illiterate person having no knowledge about the legal procedure as well as they had a financial crisis, I find it justifiable to give reasonable opportunity to the applicants-appellants in the interest of justice to ventilate the grievances before the Appellate Forum. There is no impediment to condone the delay. There is sufficient cause to allow the applications for condonation of delay. In addition, the applicants/claimants have shown their willingness/ inclination that they will not claim statutory benefits as mentioned above. In such circumstances, by imposing aforesaid fetter of waiver of statutory benefits on the part of applicants-appellants, there would not be any impediment to condone the delay. The applications for condonation of delay deserve to be allowed.

4. In sequel, applications stand allowed. The delay caused to present the appeals against the impugned Award stands condoned subject to condition that applicants-appellants shall not claim statutory benefits as well as amount of interest as laid down in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 for the delayed period allowed to be condoned, in case, any enhanced compensation is awarded by this Court after adjudication of appeal on merit.

5. Pursuant to aforesaid waiver of statutory claim, the applicants-appellants shall furnish undertaking to that effect and place it on record of the appeals to enable this Court to take note of the same, while decision of the appeals on merit. Registry to take requisite steps for further process.

6. The civil applications are allowed in aforesaid terms and stands disposed of.

[K. K. SONAWANE]
JUDGE

rrd.