

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**SECOND APPEAL NO. 345 of 2012
(Rajendra Kadu Sonawane and another Vs. The State
of Maharashtra and others)**

--

Mr. V.P. Raje, Advocate for Appellants
Smt. R.P. Gaur, A.G.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. P.N. Kutti, Advocate for respondent No. 2
Mr. S.G. Magare, Advocate for respondent No. 3

--

CORAM : SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 17th JULY, 2017

ORAL ORDER :

Heard the learned counsel for the appellants.

2. Upon going through the papers on record and the judgment of the Trial Court and that of the First Appellate Court, I find that there is absolutely no substantial question of law involved in this appeal. Consequently, the Second Appeal is dismissed. No costs.

3. In view of dismissal of the Second

Appeal, Civil Application No. 6374/2012, seeking injunction, does not survive and hence, stands disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

npj/sa345-2012