

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.463 OF 2013
AND CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7111 of 2015
IN WRIT PETITION NO. 6023 OF 2012

MURLIDHAR RAIBHAN MORE
VERSUS
A B JOGDAND AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri G.M.Jadhav

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: February 03, 2017

...
PER COURT :-

1. The order passed by this Court dated 15.10.2012, which led to the issuance of a direction in paragraph No.10 is as under:-

“10. Be that as it may, there is no impediment for the petitioner to forward the proposal to the State government and it is for the State government to consider the said proposal i.e. either to accept or reject the same. The petitioner may put forthwith all the facts before the State Government It is only if the State government decides to condone the break then the Respondents naturally would be entitled for all further benefits.”

2. Learned AGP submits that the above said directions have been implemented and after the proposal was forwarded to the State Government, the same has been rejected on it's merits.

3. Considering the above, this contempt petition is devoid of merits and is, therefore, dismissed.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - CONTEMPT PETITION NO.463 OF 2013 & ORS

4. Pending Civil Application No. 7111 of 2015 does not survive and stands disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...