

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8933 OF 2014

(Gautam s/o Tatyrao Shejul Vs. The Dispute Redressal Officer & ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Shri B.S. Shinde, Advocate for petitioner
Ms R.P. Gaur, A.G.P. for State
Shri V.R. Dhorde, Advocate for R.No.2 & 4

.....

CORAM : S. B. SHUKRE, J.

DATED : 6th February, 2017.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that, in P.I.L. No.36/2014, some orders have been passed and in compliance, show-cause-notices have been issued to the concerned persons including the respondent No.1 Dispute Redressal Officer. Thus, this petition has worked itself out. Even otherwise, alternative remedy in the nature of appeal is available to the petitioner and he may avail of the same. If the petitioner files an appeal, the delay caused in filing of appeal shall be considered by the appellate authority appropriately by considering the provisions of Section 14 of the Limitation Act in the sense that some time was spent in prosecuting the present Writ Petition.

2. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(S. B. SHUKRE)
JUDGE