

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

934 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13999 OF 2013
IN FAST/26387/2013
WITH CA/14001/2013 IN FAST/26413/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
VASUDEO BHIMASHANKAR SUL AND ANOTHER

...
AGP for Applicant : Mr. S. N. Morampalle.
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. L. C. Patil.
...

CORAM : **V. K. JADHAV, J.**
DATE : 14th July, 2017.

P.C.:

. Heard the learned AGP for Applicant / State and the learned counsel for Respondents / original Claimants.

2 None appears for Respondent / acquiring body, though duly served.

3 The learned AGP submits that due to some official difficulties, the appeal could not be preferred within the period of limitation.

4 The learned counsel for Respondents / original Claimants has strongly resisted the applications on the ground that the delay has not been explained satisfactorily.

5 In view of the submissions made on behalf of the State and for the reasons stated in the applications, the delay of 861 days caused in filing the appeal is hereby condoned. Civil applications are allowed and accordingly disposed of.

6 In appeals, heard. Issue notice to the Respondents, returnable on 24th August, 2017. The learned counsel appearing for Respondents / original Claimants waives the service of notice. Record and proceedings be called for.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

ndm