

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.9745/2016

Mohd.Hussain Sardaruddin Quadri.
...Petitioner..

Versus

The Divisional Commissioner,
MSRTC, Aurangabad & another.
...Respondents...

.....

Shri P.A. Kulkarni, Advocate for petitioner.
Smt.Ranjana Reddy, Advocate for respondent no.1.
Shri K.B. Choudhary, Advocate for respondent no.2.

.....

**CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE: 03.07.2017

FINAL ORDER :

1] On hearing the petitioner, we are inclined to dispose of the petition as it is necessary for the concerned respondents to deal with and decide the revised pension so claimed by the petitioner vide representation dated 22.1.2016.

2] Respondent no.1 is directed to supply information to respondent no.2 within four weeks in order to avoid

- 2 -

further delay. Respondent no.2 to deal with the same at the earliest and preferably within 10 weeks thereafter. The petitioner to cooperate and provide all the necessary documents, which are necessary.

3] The petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)