

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**64 WRIT PETITION NO. 11102 OF 2017**

MANGESH TUKARAM MANORE AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
DNYANESHWAR SUDAMRAO MANORE AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Ajit D. Kasliwal.

Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mr. Rahul G. Joshi.

AGP for Respondent No.3 : Mr. N. T. Bhagat.

...

CORAM : **V. K. JADHAV, J.**

DATE : 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

P.C.:

. I do not find any substance in this writ petition. The objection Petitioners in succession proceedings have filed an application Exhibit 51 seeking amendment in the objection petition. The original Petitioners have contested the said application on the ground that they are the class I heirs of the deceased and as such, the objection Petitioners have no *locus-standi* to object this petition. The learned Judge of the Trial Court may consider the contentions raised by the Petitioners. However, there cannot be any reason to refuse the amendment in the objection petition filed by the objection Petitioners. It appears that the succession proceedings are pending since 2014. The Trial Court shall dispose of the said proceedings bearing M.A.R.J.I. No.570 of 2014 as expeditiously as possible preferably

within a period of three months from the date of this order. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

**[ V. K. JADHAV, J. ]**

*ndm*