

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.511 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.11130 OF 2014**

Prabhakar s/o. Bhagwanrao Deshpande ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and ors.

..Respondents

--

Mr.K.M.Nagarkar, Advocate for petitioner

Mr.V.S.Badakh, AGP for respondent no.1

Mr.Manish Navandar, Advocate for respondent nos.2
and 4

--

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 13, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard Mr.Nagarkar, learned Counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Navandar, learned Counsel
for respondent nos.2 and 4.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner
submits that this Court had directed the
respondents to return the amount recovered and if

fresh order is to be passed, the same has to be after hearing.

3. There was a dispute with regard to the quantum of the amount to be recovered, however, it is stated on affidavit, that an amount of Rs.98,000/- and odd, was recovered from the petitioner which has been refunded to the petitioner and subsequently, after hearing the petitioner, the order is passed.

4. In view of that nothing survives in the Contempt Petition. The Contempt Petition is disposed of.

5. In case subsequent order is passed against the petitioner, it is open for the petitioner to assail the same as may be permissible under the law.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.] [S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

kbp