

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8788 OF 2016

**HARI NARAYAN LATE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER AURANGABAD AND OTHERS**

**AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 8789 OF 2016**

**RAMA NANABHAU MANWATKAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER AURANGABAD AND OTHERS**

--

Mr.D.M.Kakade, Advocate for petitioners

Mr.A.R.Borulkar, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3 and 5

Mr.A.M.Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent no.4

--

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : MARCH 06, 2017

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. Both the petitions are filed for giving directions to the respondents to decide the proceedings filed by the petitioners under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act expeditiously.

3. The learned Counsel for the acquiring body makes a statement that the proceedings under the said Act, are already decided. In view of this statement, both the petitions have become infructuous and the same stands disposed of accordingly.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[T.V. NALAWADE, J.]

kbp