

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12922 OF 2013
IN FAST/25267/2013 WITH CA/12920/2013 IN FAST/25256/2013 WITH
CA/12921/2013 IN FAST/25256/2013 WITH CA/12923/2013 IN
FAST/25267/2013 WITH CA/5955/2015 IN FAST/25267/2013 WITH
CA/5956/2015 IN FAST/25256/2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
BHAGWAN BHAU SAKAT

...

Agp for Applicants : Mr. A.M. Phule
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. C.K. Shinde

.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 20th JULY, 2017

PER COURT:-

1. Heard both sides.
2. Learned A.G.P. submits that due to some administrative difficulties, the appeals could not be preferred within limitation. The delay is not intentional one and the applicant State and acquiring body were prevented from sufficient cause to prefer appeals within limitation.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents original claimants has strongly resisted the applications on the ground that the delay has not been explained satisfactorily.

4. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and for the reasons stated in the civil applications, delay of 513 days caused in filing the appeals is hereby condoned. Civil applications are accordingly disposed of.

5. In first appeals issue notice to the respondents. Learned counsel waives notice for respondents original claimants. Stand over to 26.07.2017.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

rlj/