

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.10392 OF 2017

1. The Suburban Education Society,
Aurangabad, Through its President
Subhash s/o Bhikan Patil,
Age: 65 years, Occ: Social
Work, R/o. B-501, Mayur Park,
Jalgaon Road, Aurangabad.
2. Sant Kabir Shikshan Prasarak
Mandal, Aurangabad
Through its Member of Executive
Council, Dhananjay s/o Madhavrao
Borde, Age: 37 years,
Occ: Social Work, R/o. Plot No.54,
"BANAI" Nandanvan Colony,
Aurangabad.
3. Dnyansandeep Shikshan Sanstha,
Aurangabad, Through its President
Prakash s/o Tukaram Sonwane,
Age: 49 years, Occ: Service/
Social Work, Triratna Sadan,
5/11/257, Line No.14,
Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkarnagar,
CIDCO, N-7, Aurangabad.
4. Jai Shivrai Shikshan Sanstha,
Aurangabad, Through its Secretary,
Yusufkha Badsha Pathan,
Age: 70 years, R/o. D-3, Plot NO.4,
Royal Housing Society, N-8,
CIDCO, Aurangabad.
5. Sarvodaya Kala Mandal Aurangabad
Through its Secretary
Limba s/o Punju Pawar,
Age: 66 years, Occ: Social Work,
R/o. Pundliknagar Galli No.1,
Matoshri Nagar, Aurangabad.

(2)

6. Mungeshwar Education Society,
Aurangabad, Through its President
Popat s/o Gangadhar Khairnar,
Age: 59 years, Occ: Social Work,
R/o. B-79, N-2, CIDCO,
Aurangabad. ..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Principal Secretary,
Finance Department of Maharashtra
State, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
3. The Commissioner of Education,
Maharashtra State,
Commissionerate, Vidya
Pradhikaran, 708, Sadashiv Peth,
Kunthekar Marg, Pune-10.
4. The Director of Education (Primary)
Maharashtra State, Central
Building, Pune-1.
5. The Director of Education,
(Secondary and Higher Secondary)
Maharashtra State, Central
Building, Pune-1. ..RESPONDENTS

Mr V.G. Salgare, Advocate for petitioner;
Mr A.R. Kale, A.G.P. for respondents

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : 23rd AUGUST, 2017

(3)

ORAL ORDER :

After arguing the matter for some time, learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition, with liberty to the petitioners to submit representation in regard to their grievance as made in this petition.

2. With the aforesaid liberty, the petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

3. In case, the petitioners submit representation as aforesaid, competent authority of the respondents is expected to consider and take appropriate decision on it, in accordance with law, expeditiously.

4. Needless to say that, we have not commented on the merits of the matter and petitioners' entitlement.

5. Writ Petition is disposed of.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)**(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)**