

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.496 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.726 OF 2017**

Kunal s/o.Vinaykumar Thirani **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

Amol s/o.Shripalbhair Sheth
and others **RESPONDENTS**

...

Mr.Swapnil S.Patunkar, Advocate for the
petitioner.

Mr.Abhay Ostwal, Advocate holding for
Mr.Nilesh Tribhuwan, Advocate for
respondents.

...

**CORAM: S.S.SHINDE &
MANGESH S.PATIL,JJ.**

DATE : 13.10.2017

ORDER:

1] Pursuant to the notices issued to
the respondents, respondents have caused
their appearance and demand draft is handed
over to the petitioner.

2] Learned counsel appearing for the
petitioner submits that there is no any
further grievance.

3] The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Suresh Chandra Poddar Vs. Dhani Ram and others**¹ has taken a view that even where the order of court is complied with, subsequent to receipt of notice of contempt, Court should show judicial grace and magnanimity in dealing with action for contempt.

4] In that view of the matter, the Contempt Petition stands disposed of.

[MANGESH S.PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.S.SHINDE]
JUDGE

DDC

1 [2002] 1 SCC 766