

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

918 WRIT PETITION NO. 10745 OF 2017

SUDHAKAR NARSINGRAO CHERUKULA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. U.R. Awate h/f. Talekar And Associates

AGP for Respondents/State : Mrs. R.P. Gour

Advocate for Respondents : Mr. S.S. Dande for R/3. & 4

...

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 06.09.2017

P.C. :-

. Notice to respondents. Learned A.G.P. waives service of notice on behalf of respondent nos. 1 and 2. Mr. Dande, the learned counsel waives for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the validation proceedings in respect of tribe claim of the petitioner is pending with respondent no.2-committee, the same is not yet decided. However, respondent nos. 3 and 4 have directed the petitioner to produce the validity, else the services of the petitioner would be terminated.

3. To get the validation proceedings decided within a stipulated period is not in the hands of the litigant.

4. Considering the above, we pass the following order:

ORDER

- i) The respondent no.2-committee shall decide the validation proceedings in respect of tribe claim of the petitioner expeditiously and preferably within a period of six months.
- ii) The petitioner shall co-operate in expeditious disposal of the said proceedings.
- iii) Till the proceedings are decided by the respondent no.2-committee, the respondent nos. 3 and 4 shall not take adverse action against the petitioner only on the ground that the validation proceedings are pending.
- iv) The respondent nos. 3 and 4 can take further course of action depending upon the judgment delivered by the committee in the validation proceedings.
- v) Writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]