

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9393 OF 2015
(Pandurang Kushaba Bankar and others Vs. Subhashsingh Rajpur
and others)

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.238 OF 2015

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.197 OF 1998

Mr.P.R.Patil h/f Mr.C.D.Biradar, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr.B.N.Palve, Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 and 3.
Mr.N.T.Bhagat, AGP for respondent No.4.
Mr.Mobin Shaikh h/f Mr.V.R.Dhorde, Advocate for respondent No.2.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

DATE : 01/02/2017

PER COURT :

1. Service is complete in the contempt petition.
2. By this civil application, the applicants seek to array the Tahsildar of Taluka Kannad as respondent No.4 in the petition. Learned AGP submits that he has taken instructions in this matter and the Nayab Tanshildar representing the Tahsildar is already present in the Court.
- 3 In the light of the above and for the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed. The Taluka Executive Magistrate,

Kannad / Tahsildar be arrayed as respondent No.4 in the petition.
Addition be carried out forthwith. Learned AGP waives service on
behalf of Tahsildar in the contempt petition.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)