

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 295 OF 2012
IN WP/7626/2011**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.
VERSUS
SUNITA PHULCHAND TIDKE

Mr.S.K.Tambe, A.G.P. for applicants
Mr.V.C.Patil, Advocate h/f. Mr.U.B.Bondar, Advocate for respondent

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : OCTOBER 31, 2017

PER COURT

It appears that with the passage of time, the purpose underlying Review Application is worked out since it has been given to understand that original petitioner is now over 45 years of age and further that she has not been appointed on compassionate ground.

2. In view of the same, nothing survives in the Review Application and the same stands disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

kbp