

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2573 OF 2015
IN
SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22476 OF 2014**

Kisan s/o Bahenaji Pawar ...Applicant

Versus

Hari s/o Bahenaji Pawar ...Respondent

...

Mr. Ganachari Shrinivas M., Advocate for applicant
Mr. S. B. Tambe (Salunke) for R/sole

...

CORAM: SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

Date: 6th January, 2017

PER COURT:

1. Learned advocate for the applicant points out that delay is sought to be accounted for under the reasons as are appearing in civil application.

2. Learned advocate for the respondent though purports to resist is not in a position to dispute veracity of the reasons. In the facts and circumstances, it would be expedient to condone the delay occurred in filling second appeal.

3. In view of aforesaid, civil application stands granted in terms of prayer clause (B) and is disposed of.

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH)
JUDGE

VDK