

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11193 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.22801 OF 2016

Dagdu Gopal Kapse and Others

APPLICANTS

VERSUS

Sangita Sandipan Ghadge and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. Murge Estling S. Advocate for the applicants

Mr. S. K. Tambe, AGP for respondents State

Mr. L. H. Kawale, Advocate for respondent No.1

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 20th JANUARY, 2017

ORDER :

1. Heard learned advocates for the parties.
2. Learned advocate for the applicants refers to the contentions as are referred to in paragraphs No.3, 4 and 5 of the civil application and contends that the delay is neither intentional nor deliberate and caused under the circumstances referred to in the civil application.
3. Learned advocates for the respondents purport to oppose the contentions, however, are not in a position to challenge

correctness and veracity of the contentions.

4. In the circumstances, it would be expedient to condone the delay, subject to costs to respondent No.1, which would mend inconvenience caused to her.

5. As such, civil application stands granted in terms of prayer clause "B" on the condition that an amount of Rs.2,500/- is deposited towards costs to be disbursed to respondent No.1. The amount of costs be deposited within a period of four weeks. Respondent No.1 would be at liberty to withdraw the amount of costs.

6. Civil application accordingly stands disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]