

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 791 OF 2016

IN WRIT PETITION NO. 9528 OF 2015

Ashok Bapu Datir

...Petitioner

Versus

J. P. Dange and others

...Respondents

Mr. D. R. Jaybhar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, AGP for respondent No.1/ State

Mr. G. B. Rajale, Advocate for respondent No.4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI. JJ.**

DATE : 31-10-2017

PER COURT :

1. Mr. G. B. Rajale learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that, the acquiring body sent the proposal to the state seeking acquisition of the petitioner's land, however it is returned back on the ground that the acquiring body should negotiate and get the sale deed executed. The notices were issued to the claimants but they are not agreeable for the execution of the sale deed.

2. In that case the only option with the respondents is to move for compulsory acquisition under the provisions of the Right to Fair

Compensation Act.

3. The acquiring body shall send the proposal to the Government for acquisition complete in all respects within a period of four weeks from today.
4. The State shall thereafter complete the acquisition proceeding within the time stipulated under the Right to Fair Compensation Act.
5. With this observations contempt petition stand disposed of.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

vjg/-.