

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13912 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.22630 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13914 OF 2016
IN
SECOND APPEAL STAMP NO.22633 OF 2016

Vikas Shivdas Patil
VERSUS
Gitanjali Vikas Patil

APPLICANT

RESPONDENT

.....

Mr. Deepak D. Chaudhari, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. S.A.Ambilwade h/f Mr. A. S. Sawant, Advocate for respondent

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 20th JANUARY, 2017

ORDER :

1. Learned advocate for the applicant refers to the contentions referred to in the civil application and contends that the delay is neither intentional nor deliberate.
2. Learned advocate for the respondent purports to oppose the contentions, yet is not in a position to challenge veracity and correctness of the reasons given in the civil application.
3. As such, civil applications stand allowed in terms of prayer clause "A" and are disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]