

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 452 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 9746 OF 2016

Balaji Kashinath Reddy

.. **Petitioner**

Versus

G. Shrikant and others

.. **Respondents**

Shri Pratap G. Rodge, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for Respondent / State.

Shri S. G. Rurdrawar, Advocate for Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 4th December, 2017

PER COURT :

1. The committee has come to the conclusion that the land claimed by the petitioner is not acquired and has directed the Talathi to cancel the Ferfar No. 639.

2. After Ferfar No. 639 is cancelled the original position would stand restored. The committee had directed the enquiry to be conducted by the Tahsildar.

3. The committee had come to the conclusion that the land is

not acquired, then in such circumstances unless there is a contrary material on record the original entry needs to be restored.

4. It is stated by Mr. Rudrawar, the learned advocate that mutation entry No. 639 has been cancelled.

5. In view of that original entry stands restored. The authorities shall restore the original position on the 7/12 extract as it exist prior to Mutation Entry No. 639. The parties can take further steps as per the record.

6. The contempt petition stands disposed of. No costs.

[S. M. GAVHANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe/Dec.17