

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1563 OF 2012

RAVINDRA ANANTRAO PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Bolkar Yogesh
Addl.GP for Respondents 1 to 3 : Smt. Deshpande Manjusha
Advocate for Respondent 4 : Shri Hon A.V.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE & SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.
Dated: November 01, 2017

...

PER COURT :-

1. We have heard the strenuous submissions of Shri Bolkar, learned Advocate for the petitioner. We find that the petitioner has not approached the authorities for a One Time Settlement with regard to his loan transaction. This Court cannot direct any financial institution to accept an application for One Time Settlement, per force.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would move the appropriate authorities within six weeks from today for the consideration of his request for a One Time Settlement.

3. In the light of the above, this petition is disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to move his request within six weeks to the appropriate

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - WRIT PETITION NO. 1563 OF 2012

authorities. We make it clear that we have not expressed any view as to whether the concerned authorities should accept the request of the petitioner.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...