

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8327 OF 2017

Shrikrushna Developers  
through its partner  
Hema Suresh Supekar & others

Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr .A.G. Talhar advocate for the petitioners  
Mr. S.K.Tambe, AGP for Respondents No.1 & 2  
Mr. R.N. Dhorde, Sr. Advocate for respondent No.4

---

CORAM :   **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J**

(Date : 30th June, 2017.)

PER COURT :-

1       I have heard Shri Talhar learned counsel for the petitioner for some time and Shri Dhorde learned Senior Advocate on behalf of respondent No.4.

2       Considering the entire history of this litigation and the conduct of the petitioner, I was inclined to rely upon the judgment of the Honourable Apex Court in the matter of **Kishor Samrite Versus State of Uttar Pradesh & others** (2013 (2) SCC 398) so as to deal with the conduct of the petitioner.

3       At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners submits, on instructions that, the petitioners desire to withdraw this

petition and take recourse to the available legal remedies, if any.

4 In the light of the above, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty.

5 Needless to state, this Court has not created any right in the petitioner to prefer any legal remedy; since it will be subject to the law applicable, including the law of limitation, if any.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE , J)