

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8251 OF 2017

Seva Shikshan prasarak Mandal's
Dr. N.J. Paulbudhe College of Pharmacy
45/B, Shaneshwar Nagar, Vasant Tekdi
Savedi, Ahmednagar
Through its President
Vinay Nath Paulbudhe
Age: 49 years, Occu.: Business,
R/o Vasant Tekdi, Veer Savarkar Marg,
Savedi, Ahmednagar, Dist. Ahmednagar.

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary for
Technical Education,
Mantralaya, Mumbai.
2. The Director of Technical Education
3, Mahapalika Marg,
Mumbai.
3. Savitribai Phule Pune University
Gagneshkhind, Pune - 411 007.
4. Pharmacy Council of India
Combined Council's Building,
Kotla Road, Aiwan-E-Ghaib Marg,
New Delhi-110002.

..RESPONDENTS

....

Mr. V.D. Hon, Senior Advocate i/b Mr. A.V. Hon, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. M.B. Bharaswadkar, A.G.P. for respondent - State.
Mr. V.P. Golewar, Advocate h/f Mr. A.R. Joshi, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

....

**CORAM : ANOOP V. MOHTA &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ
DATED : 30th JUNE, 2017**

ORAL JUDGMENT : (Per: ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.) :

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith and heard finally by consent of the parties.

2. Petitioner has challenged the action of Respondent No.2 of deleting the name of petitioner - institution from the list of centralised admission process of Bachelor of Pharmacy course for the academic year 2017-18, though they have requisite permission from All India Council for Technical Education and Government of Maharashtra. Petitioner has placed on record communication dated 29th June, 2017 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby they have also recognised the case of petitioner and in fact addressed communication to Respondent No.2 to that effect.

3. Therefore, taking over all view of the matter and in view of the urgency so expressed, we are inclined to dispose of this petition in terms of prayer clause (B). It is made clear that this order will be subject to order of Hon'ble Supreme Court. Petitioner to take steps to remove the deficiency, if any, as early as possible.

4. As this order is dictated in open Court today and there is urgency, the concerned officers of the respondents to take immediate steps to avoid further

delay and complications in the matter, without waiting for certified copy of the order. Parties to act upon authenticated copy.

5. Petition is allowed. Rule is made absolute accordingly. No costs.

SSD (SUNIL K. KOTWAL, J.) (ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)