

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9427 OF 2013
IN FAST/20171/2013 WITH CA/9428/2013 IN FAST/20171/2013 WITH
CA/9432/2013 IN FAST/20168/2013 WITH CA/9433/2013 IN
FAST/20168/2013

THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER MSRTC THR DIVNL OFFICER
AHMEDNAGAR
VERSUS
INDUBAI PUNJAJI BHENDALE AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Goyanka M. K.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. S.R. Sambre h/f Mr. N.V. Gaware
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. R.D. Borde h/f Mr. U.S. Matle
.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 3rd FEBRUARY, 2017

PER COURT:-

1. None present for respondent No.1 original claimant though duly served.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants submits that due to some official procedure the appeals could not be preferred within time. Learned counsel appearing for respondents strongly resisted the applications. However, in view of above and for the reasons stated in civil applications, delay caused in preferring first appeals is hereby condoned. Civil applications are allowed and disposed of.
3. In first appeals, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on

17.03.2017. Learned counsel waive notice for respective respondents.

4. In so far as stay applications are concerned, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant M.S.R.T.C. has deposited the entire amount to the extent of liability saddled on the applicant MSRTC by the Tribunal. In view of above, the execution of judgment and award under appeals is stayed to the extent of liability of the applicant M.S.R.T.C.. Stay applications are accordingly disposed of.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

rlj/