

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8758 OF 2017

NAYNESH DILIP PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Rane Girish S.
AGP for Respondents/ State : Shri D.R.Kale.
Advocate for Respondent 4 : Shri Lalit S. Mahajan.

...

**CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI
AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE :- 10th July, 2017

PC.:

- 1 Heard both sides.
- 2 The Petitioner is not to be blamed if the Scrutiny Committee is yet to scrutinize and verify his claim.
- 3 On account of a large pendency of the matters before the Scrutiny Committees, but not to prejudice the Petitioner, in the facts peculiar to this case, we direct that the Petitioner be allowed to continue his studies, appear for the examination and all his results be declared by treating him as a bonafide student of Respondent No.3/ College affiliated to Respondent No.4/ University. The Petitioner shall not claim any equities. The Scrutiny Committee is directed to expedite the proceedings before it and conclude them as early as possible.
- 4 The Writ Petition is disposed of in the above terms.