

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3035 OF 2017

Vitthal S/o Tukaram Patil

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.V.B.Patil

APP for Respondent State:Mr.S.B.Joshi

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1732 OF 2017

Dipak Santosh Patil(Hatkar) & another

...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & another

...Respondents

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.B.S.Deshmukh

APP for Respondent State:Mr.S.B.Joshi

CORAM : K. L. WADANE, J.

DATE : 30th June, 2017

ORDER:

1) Heard Mr. Patil, Mr. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Mr. Joshi, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2) These two criminal applications pertain to the Crime No.38/2017 registered with the police station Ramanand Nagar, District Jalgaon for the offences punishable under section 307,323,504,206,34 of the

Indian Penal Code.

3) During the course of argument it was pointed out that the Investigating Officer has submitted his report on 14.05.2017, in which it has been specifically mentioned that the complainant gave a supplementary statement and stated that no such incident took place at any time and the names of the applicants were mentioned in the First Information report at the instance of the brother of the informant.

4) Mr.Joshi, learned A.P.P. submits during the course of investigation it was revealed that the complaint was filed due to misunderstanding and the informant and the witnesses, in their supplementary statements, clearly stated that no such incident took place.

5) In view of the above circumstances, the ad-interim relief granted to the applicants in both the applications is made absolute. Both applications are disposed of.

(K. L. WADANE, J.)

JPC