

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
23 CRA NO. 232 OF 2015
WITH CA/11824/2015 IN CRA/232/2015 WITH CA/739/2016 IN
CRA/232/2015

RAMESHWAR KASHINATH JIRVANKAR
VERSUS
RAMCHANDRA TUKARAM INGLE

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Tungar Nikhilesh K.
Advocate for sole Respondent : Mr. V.B. Anjanwatikar.

CORAM : K. L. WADANE, J.

DATE : 11th September, 2017

ORDER:

1. Heard Mr. Tungar, learned counsel appearing for the applicant.

2. The present applicant was the tenant over the suit property i.e. plot No. 360 in Ward No. 3 situated at Rahul Nagar, Parbhani. According to present applicant the tenancy created by oral agreement and rent was agreed to be paid Rs. 600/- per month. The present applicant/defendant is a handicap person and he is regular and punctual in payment of rent.

3. Plaintiff/respondent contended that, he requires the suit property for bonafide purpose i.e. for photography business. Plaintiff's case is that, the present applicant has not paid the rent regularly.

4. After hearing both the sides the suit of the plaintiff is decreed and decree of eviction is passed. That order is assailed before the learned District Judge, who also dismissed the appeal. Hence, present revision.

5. During the course of arguments Mr. Tungar, learned counsel makes a statement that, during pendency of the present revision application the applicant has vacated the premises.

6. In view of the above, nothing survive in the revision application, hence it is disposed of with no order as to costs.

7. Pending civil applications are disposed of.

(K. L. WADANE, J.)

mkd